

PROAC Meeting Minutes 02/27/2009

- Wil Castro: main facilitator and participant in every discussion
- Updated Taxonomy
 - Assignment of persons of PROAC to respective groups
 - Bertha: group C
 - Cindy: A&B
 - Service Learning: Group D not C
 - Dr. Cabrera to assist A&B
 - Dean Pop: Group D
 - SBDC
 - Request inclusion of Grant Program
 - Point of contact for each group
 - Group A&B: Robert Armstrong
 - Group C: Adrian Atalig
- Composite Report
 - P70: Cleaning up protocols
 - Submissions of Memo 1s going through division heads
- Election of vice-chair: Dr. Cabrera
- Family Literacy Program to be included in this(2nd) cycle
- Adoption of Success Criteria by Friday
 - Supplemental report
- Success Criteria
 - Address TR2
 - View the overall Program Review process
 - Data from Dec 31, 2008 report and survey instrument
 - From data analysis from OIE (Assessment Survey)
 - Institutional support: lower than success criteria
 - WASC: institutional support should be 100%
 - Dean: accept that some % in success criteria are too high
 - Glenn: “Assessed Faculty”
 - Can we assess what people say in surveys?
 - We acknowledge there is opportunity to admit we can improve
 - Forward decision of success criteria to College Council
 - Fine-tuning the success criteria
- CFAO: we should not be asking ourselves if there is institutional support
- Each team with leader look at Dec. 11 updates and familiarize with respective groups and submission
- Task: groups look at what it (actions taken) all means to the groups
- How we measure the impact of an action?
- Dean Pop: consider that those improvements will be documented when those SLOs reappear in a cycle
 - Do separate research to reidentify what the results of cycle 1 improvement have had on the program while we're doing cycle 2
- PR Memo 1
 - Rubric in a way we want to review these (PR Memo 1) items

- Rubric to match up NMC mission statements with other mission statements and the other columns in Memo 1
- Adopt as an institution a rubric for SLOs
- Next 3 months
 - Memo 2
 - WASC Report due
 - March 3rd begin discussion on rubric
- “Water down” SLOs
- Dean Pop: Levels of demonstration that someone has acquired a skill or knowledge
 - Verbalization
 - Application
 - Balance between if we are measuring
 - Knowledge
 - Skill
 - Values
- Training/PD
 - SOE help facilitate training session for SLOs and AUOs
 - Cindy is point of contact
 - Dean Pop will assist
 - Means of Assessment: Dr. Cabrera/Glenn Keaton/Cindy
 - Mission Statement: Wil Castro/Tee/Pam
 - Assist generate mission statements for some departments
- Planning/Q2 results
 - Begin dialogue for operational plan
 - Have it widely communicated
 - Improve it?
- Form 1s
 - Dean Pop: get samples and measure it against the success criteria and go back and discuss samples with group on criteria and process
 - How to evaluate if someone meets the criteria in their new employee eval
- Should all governance committees (including PROAC) be in the taxonomy?
 - Dr. Cabrera: PROAC should be included because of the standards
 - Success criteria a measure of PROAC’s effectiveness?
 - Survey
 - Is it complete?
 - Division heads should develop their Memo 1s
 - Bottom (department) up (division heads to NMC) development/articulation of mission statements
 - When? (time constraints) where does this fit?
 - RA, PR, Plan: provide people with an understanding of what and where is the college going
 - SLOs and AUOs link to the vision
 - We need something to evaluate decisions and actions
 - Linking PR, budget, and plan
- Glenn Keaton: “Enhancing and Sustaining Education Quality in the Pacific”

- Evaluation of different campuses
 - Document from WASC
 - Challenges campuses have in acquiring accreditation
 - Glenn Keaton: can we use this document to improve?
- Robert A.: are all programs ineffective/broken?
- Glenn Keaton: what is the definition of quality? Do we understand what were supposed to do?
- What? When? Where? How? To what extent?: processes and policies of PR, planning, budgeting